arXiv:1703.01629v1 [math-ph] 5 Mar 2017

Photon-added coherent states for shape invariant systems

Komi Sodoga,[1, a)] Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou,[1, b)] and Isiaka Aremua[1, c)]

[a,c] *Université de Lomé Faculté des Sciences, Département de Physique, Laboratoire de Physique des Matériaux et des Composants à Semi-Conducteurs, 02 BP 1515 Lomé, Togo*

[a,b,c] *University of Abomey-Calavi, International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA–UNESCO Chair), 072 B.P. 50 Cotonou, Republic of Benin*

(Dated: 7 March 2017)

This paper addresses a full characterization of photon-added coherent states for shape-invariant potentials. Main properties are investigated and discussed. A statistical computation of relevant physical quantities is performed, emphasizing the importance of using generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$ and Meijer's G-functions for such a study.

[a)] Electronic mail: ksodoga@tg.refer.org

[b)] Electronic mail: norbert.hounkonnou@cipma.uac.bj, with copy to hounkonnou@yahoo.fr

[c)] Electronic mail: claudisak@yahoo.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of the concept of canonical coherent states (CS), associated with the one dimensional harmonic oscillator by Schrödinger in 1926[1], followed decades in which this concept has reached great investigations[2-5]. CS are a useful mathematical framework for dealing with the connection between classical and quantum mechanics[5–7]. These states can globally be constructed in three equivalent ways: (i) by defining them as eigenstates of the lowering operator (called CS of the Barut-Girardello[8] type), (ii) by applying a unitary displacement operator on a ground state (Klauder-Perelomov CS[9] or CS of the Gazeau-Klauder type), and (iii) by considering them as quantum states with a minimum uncertainty relationship[10,11].

The CS for shape-invariant potentials (SIP) performed in this work[12,13], belong to the Barut-Girardello type. They are built using algebraic approach based on the supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY-QM)[14,15]. SUSY QM deals with the study of partner Hamiltonians which are isospectral, that is, they have *almost* the same energy eigenvalues. A number of such partner Hamiltonians satisfy an integrability condition called shape invariance[16-18]. However, not all exactly solvable systems are shape-invariant.

Recently, a considerable attention is devoted to photon-added CS (PACS)[19-22], first introduced by Agarwal and Tara[23]. The PACS represent interesting states generalizing both the Fock states and CS. Indeed, they are obtained by repeatedly operating the photon creation operator on an ordinary CS. In some previous works, the PACS were assimilated to nonlinear CS. Their various generalizations were also performed[24,25]. They evidence some nonclassical effects, for e.g, amplitude squeezing, sub-Poissonian behaviour, nonclassical quasi-probability distribution. In one of our previous papers[26], a family of photon added as well as photon depleted CS related to the inverse of ladder operators acting on hypergeometric CS was introduced. Their squeezing and antibunching properties were investigated in both standard (nondeformed) and deformed quantum optics. Recently[27], new generalized PACS were formulated by excitations on a family of generalized CS. Their non-classical features and quantum statistical properties were compared with those obtained by Agarwal. Besides, in another paper[28], photon-subtracted generalized CS, which are reminiscent of the PACS, were introduced; their nonclassical features were also discussed. PACS find many applications in physics. In[29], a generating Schrödinger-cat-like states of a single-mode

optical field scheme was provided, leading to properties similar to those of superpositions of two CS with relevant statistical quantities which were analytically and numerically treated. In[30], the photon number average value dependence, and the factor of the conditional output state on the measurement outcome as well as the statistical distance between the input and conditional output states, were investigated in the study of a lossless beam splitter. All these applications motivate the necessity to look at this kind of states associated to the generalized CS for shape-invariant potentials, investigated by Aleixo and Balantekin[13], in a unified description of different CS for exactly solvable quantum systems.

All known previous works on PACS were based on SUSYQM factorization or similar methods generating exactly solvable potentials. Unfortunately, to our best knowledge of the literature, the SIP approach for PACS is still lacking. Our present study also aims at filling this gap. Indeed, we are interested in producing the PACS from the shape-invariant potential CS. These new states are denoted by *photon-added shape invariant potential CS* (PA-SIPCS). Their mathematical and physical properties are defined and discussed in details. Relevant examples are explicitly treated as illustration.

The paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2, a brief review of SUSY-QM factorization, the algebraic formulation of shape-invariance condition and the construction of the generalized shape-invariant potentials coherent states (SIPCS) are provided. In Section 3, PA-SIPCS are built by successive applications of the raising operator on the SIP-CS. The inner product of two different PA-SIPCS is nonzero, evidencing that the obtained states are not mutually orthogonal. Besides, the normalization factor is determined and the resolution of unity studied. Next, their reproducing kernel insights, due to their overcompleteness on the quantum Hilbert space property, are analyzed. Then, their statistical properties are determined and discussed. Different concrete examples, based on Infeld and Hull[33] classification, are furnished in Section 4, on different types of shape-invariant systems. Finally, in Section 5, we end with some concluding remarks.

II. QUICK OVERVIEW ON SUSY-QM FACTORIZATION, SHAPE INVARIANCE AND RELATED GENERALIZED CS

For convenience, let us consider here a one dimensional Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x), \quad x \in I \subset \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

where for notation simplification we set $\hbar = 2m = 1$. The SUSY-QM factorization of the Hamiltonian (1) consists in writing

$$H - E_0 := A^\dagger A, \tag{2}$$

where E_0 is the ground state energy of H corresponding to the ground state Ψ_0. The formal mutually adjoint operators A and $A^\dagger$ are defined by

$$A := \frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad A^\dagger := -\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \quad \text{with} \quad W(x) = -\frac{d}{dx}[\ln \Psi_0(x)]. \tag{3}$$

The function W, called superpotential, related to the ground state eigenfunction, is solution of the Riccati equation $V^2(x) - E_0 = W^2(x) - W'(x)$. The partner Hamiltonians $H_{1,2}$ are

$$H_1 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_1(x), \quad H_2 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_2(x). \tag{4}$$

H_1 is expressed through the initial Hamiltonian as: $H_1 = H - E_0$. The partner potentials are defined by:

$$V_1(x) := W^2(x) - W'(x), \quad V_2(x) := W^2(x) + W'(x). \tag{5}$$

The partner Hamiltonians H_1, H_2 and mutually adjoint operators A and $A^\dagger$ are linked as:

$$H_1 A^\dagger = A^\dagger H_2, \quad H_2 A = A H_1. \tag{6}$$

From equation (6) one can show that H_1 and H_2 are isospectral, i.e,

$$\text{if} \quad \begin{cases} H_1 \Psi_n^{(1)} = E_n^{(1)} \Psi_n^{(1)} \\ H_2 \Psi_n^{(2)} = E_n^{(2)} \Psi_n^{(2)} \end{cases} \quad \text{then} \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_n^{(2)} \propto A \Psi_{n+1}^{(1)} \\ \Psi_{n+1}^{(1)} \propto A^\dagger \Psi_n^{(2)} \end{cases}. \tag{7}$$

More precisely, the spectra of H_1 and H_2 are related as:

$$E_n^{(2)} = E_{n+1}^{(1)}, \ \Psi_n^{(2)} = [E_{n+1}^1]^{-1/2} A \Psi_{n+1}^{(1)}, \ \Psi_{n+1}^{(1)} = [E_n^2]^{-1/2} A^\dagger \Psi_n^{(2)}, \ E_0^{(1)} = 0. \tag{8}$$

These relations (8) express that if the spectra of one of the partners, say H_1, are known, one can immediately deduce the spectra of H_2. However, Eqs. (8) only give the relations between the spectra of the two partner Hamiltonians, but do not allow to determine their spectra.

A criterion of an exact solvability is known as shape invariance condition[16]; that is the pair of SUSY partner potentials $V_{1,2}$ are similar in shape and differ only in the parameters that appear in them.

Definition II.1 *The SUSY partner potentials $V_{1,2}$ are said shape-invariant if:*

$$V_2(x;a_1) = V_1(x;a_2) + \mathcal{R}(a_1) \tag{9}$$

where a_1 is a set of parameters: $a_2 := f(a_1)$ a functional of a_1, and $\mathcal{R}(a_1)$ is a remainder, independent from the dynamical variables x and p_x.

Constructing a hierarchy of Hamiltonians by repeated re-factorization of H_1, the shape invariance condition (9) allows to explicitly deduce the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions[17-18] as follows:

$$E_n^{(1)} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(a_k), \quad \Psi_n^{(1)}(x;a_1) \propto A^\dagger(x;a_1)\dots A^\dagger(x;a_n).A^\dagger(x;a_{n+1})\,\psi_0^{(1)}(x;a_{n+1}). \tag{10}$$

The method of shape-invariant potentials can be viewed as a generalization of the operator method for harmonic oscillator. Then, a question immediately arises: is there any algebraic structure underlying the shape-invariant potentials (SIP), similar to the Harmonic oscillator (Weyl Heisenberg) algebra? The answer to this question is provided by Fukui[12], and Balantekin[13]. SIP condition (9) can be rewritten in operator form as: $A(a_1)A^\dagger(a_1) = A^\dagger(a_2)A(a_2) + \mathcal{R}(a_1)$. Introducing a similarity transformation T which replaces, in a given operator $\mathcal{O}(x;a_1) \equiv \mathcal{O}(a_1)$, a_1 by a_2: $T(a_1)\mathcal{O}(a_1)T^\dagger(a_1) = \mathcal{O}(a_2)$, and the operators

$$B_+ := A^\dagger(a_1)T(a_1), \quad B_- := T^\dagger(a_1)A(a_1) \tag{11}$$

the Hamiltonian can be factorized in terms of the new operators as follows:

$$H - E_0 = H_1 = A^\dagger(a_1)A(a_1) = B_+B_- \tag{12}$$

where

$$[B_-, B_+] := \mathcal{R}(a_0), \quad B_- \left|\Psi_0\right\rangle := 0. \tag{13}$$

Let us introduce the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ spanned by the states $\left|\Psi_n\right\rangle$ given by

$$\mathfrak{H} := span\left\{\left|\Psi_n\right\rangle, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, +\infty\right\} \tag{14}$$

in which the following relation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left|\Psi_n\right\rangle \left\langle\Psi_n\right| = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}} \tag{15}$$

holds, where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is the identity operator on $\mathfrak{H}$.

The states $B_+^n |\Psi_0\rangle$ are eigenfunctions of H with eigenvalues E_n, that is:

$$H(B_+^n |\Psi_0\rangle) = \underbrace{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(a_k)\right]}_{E_n} B_+^n |\Psi_0\rangle . \tag{16}$$

$B_\pm$ act as raising and lowering operators:

$$B_+ |\Psi_n\rangle := \sqrt{E_{n+1}}\, |\Psi_{n+1}\rangle , \quad B_- |\Psi_n\rangle := \sqrt{\mathcal{R}(a_0) + E_{n-1}}\, |\Psi_{n-1}\rangle . \tag{17}$$

To define the shape-invariant potential coherent states (SIPCS), Balantekin *etal*[13] introduce the right inverse B_-^{-1} of B_- as: $B_- B_-^{-1} = \mathbb{1}$ and the left inverse H^{-1} of H such that: $H^{-1} B_+ := B_-^{-1}$. The SIPCS defined by

$$|z\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n} (z B_-^{-1})^n |\Psi_0\rangle \tag{18}$$

are eigenstates of the lowering operator B_-:

$$B_- |z\rangle = z |z\rangle . \tag{19}$$

A generalization of the SIPCS (18) is performed in[13]:

$$|z; a_j\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ z \mathcal{Z}_j B_-^{-1} \right\}^n |\Psi_0\rangle , \quad z, \mathcal{Z}_j \in \mathbb{C} \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_j \equiv \mathcal{Z}(a_j) = \mathcal{Z}(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$. Observing that $B_-^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_j = \mathcal{Z}_{j+1}\, B_-^{-1}$, and from

$$\mathcal{Z}_{j-1} := T^\dagger(a_1) \mathcal{Z}_j T(a_1), \tag{21}$$

one can readily show that

$$(z \mathcal{Z}_j B_-^{-1})^n = z^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Z}_{j+k} B_-^{-n}. \tag{22}$$

Using the relation (21), one can straightforwardly show that the states (20) are eigenstates of B_-:

$$B_- |z; a_j\rangle = z \mathcal{Z}_{j-1} |z; a_j\rangle . \tag{23}$$

Observing that

$$B_-^{-n} |\Psi_0\rangle = C_n |\Psi_n\rangle , \quad C_n = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{s=k}^{n} \mathcal{R}(a_s) \right) \right]^{-1/2} \tag{24}$$

and using Eq. (22), the normalized form of the CS (20) can be obtained as:

$$|z;a_r\rangle = \mathcal{N}(|z|^2;a_r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{h_n(a_r)}\,|\Psi_n\rangle \tag{25}$$

where we used the shorthand notation $a_r := [\mathcal{R}(a_1),\mathcal{R}(a_2),\ldots,\mathcal{R}(a_n)\,;\,a_j,a_{j+1},\ldots,a_{j+n-1}]$. The expansion coefficient $h_n(a_r)$ and the normalization constant $\mathcal{N}(|z|^2;a_r)$ are:

$$h_n(a_r) = \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}Z_{j+k}} \quad \text{for } n\geq 1,\ h_0(a_r)=1, \quad \mathcal{N}(x;a_r) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{|h_n(a_r)|^2}\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{26}$$

It is shown that these states (25) fulfill the standard properties of label continuity, overcompleteness, temporal stability, and action identity[13].

III. CONSTRUCTION OF PHOTON-ADDED COHERENT STATES FOR SHAPE INVARIANT SYSTEMS

A. Definition of the PA-SIPCS

Let $\mathfrak{H}_m$ be the Hilbert subspace of $\mathfrak{H}$ defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{H}_m := span\left\{|\Psi_{n+m}\rangle\right\}_{n,m\geq 0}. \tag{27}$$

By repeated applications of the raising operator B_+ on the generalized SIPCS (20), we can obtain photon-added shape-invariant potential CS (PA-SIPCS) denoted by $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ as follows:

$$|z;a_r\rangle_m := (B_+^m)\,|z;a_r\rangle \tag{28}$$

where m is a positive integer standing for the number of added quanta or photons.
It is worth mentioning that the first m eigenstates $|\Psi_n\rangle$, $n=0,1,\ldots,m-1$ are absent from the wavefunction $|z;a_r\rangle_m \in \mathfrak{H}_m$. Therefore, from the orthonormality relation satisfied by the states $|\Psi_n\rangle$, the overcompleteness relation fulfilled by the identity operator on $\mathfrak{H}_m$, denoted by $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}_m}$, is to be written as[20?]

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}_m} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty}|\Psi_n\rangle\langle\Psi_n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\Psi_{n+m}\rangle\langle\Psi_{n+m}|. \tag{29}$$

Here, $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}_m}$ is only required to be a bounded positive operator with a densely defined inverse[4]. From (26), and using the relation $B_+\mathcal{R}(a_{n-1}) = \mathcal{R}(a_n)B_+$, we obtain:

$$B_+\frac{1}{h_n(a_r)} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\mathcal{Z}_{j+k}}{\left[\prod_{k=2}^{n+1}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)\right]^{1/2}}B_+. \tag{30}$$

From Eq. (17), $B_+\left|\Psi_n\right\rangle = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}(a_1)+\ldots+\mathcal{R}(a_{n+1})}\left|\Psi_{n+1}\right\rangle$, we obtain:

$$B_+\frac{z^n}{h_n(a_r)}\left|\Psi_n\right\rangle = \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}Z_{j+k}\right).\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n+1}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)^{1/2}}{\left[\prod_{k=2}^{n+1}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)\right]^{1/2}}z^n\left|\Psi_{n+1}\right\rangle. \tag{31}$$

Repeated applications of B_+ give:

$$B_+^m\frac{z^n}{h_n(a_r)}\left|\Psi_n\right\rangle = \frac{z^n}{K_n^m(a_r)}\left|\Psi_{n+m}\right\rangle \ , \tag{32}$$

where the expansion coefficient takes the form:

$$K_n^m(a_r) = \frac{\left[\prod_{k=m+1}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)\right]^{1/2}}{\left[\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1}Z_{j+k}\right].\left[\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)\right]^{1/2}}. \tag{33}$$

Then, the PA-SIPCS can be written as:

$$\left|z;a_r\right\rangle_m = B_+^m\left|z;a_r\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{K_n^m(a_r)}\left|\Psi_{n+m}\right\rangle. \tag{34}$$

B. Normalization and non-orthogonality

We can obtain the normalized form of the PA-SIPCS

$$\left|z;a_r\right\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{K_n^m(a_r)}\left|\Psi_{n+m}\right\rangle \tag{35}$$

by requiring that ${}_m\left\langle z;a_r\right|\left.z;a_r\right\rangle_m = 1$. The normalization constant $\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{|z|^{2n}}{|K_n^m(a_r)|^2}\right)^{-1/2}. \tag{36}$$

The inner product of two different PA-SIPCS $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ and $|z';a_r\rangle_{m'}$

$$ {}_{m'}\langle z';a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\sum_{n,n'=0}^{\infty}\frac{z'^{\star n'}z^n}{K_{n'}^{m'\star}(a_r)K_n^m(a_r)}\,\langle\Psi_{n'+m'}\,|\Psi_{n+m}\rangle \quad (37)$$

does not vanish. Indeed, due to the orthonormality of the eigenstates $|\Psi_n\rangle$, the inner product (37) can be rewritten as

$$ {}_{m'}\langle z';a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)z'^{\star(m-m')}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(z'^{\star}z)^n}{K_{n+m-m'}^{m'}{}^{\star}(a_r)\;K_n^m(a_r)}, \quad (38)$$

showing that the PA-SIPCS are not mutually orthogonal.

C. Overcompleteness

We assume the existence of a non-negative weight function ω_m such that the overcompleteness or the resolution of identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z\;|z;a_r\rangle_m\;\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r)\;{}_m\langle z;a_r| = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}_m} \quad (39)$$

holds.

Inserting the definition (35) of the PA-SIPCS $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ into Eq. (39) yields:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z\;\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z|^2;a_r)\sum_{n,n'=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^{\star n'}z^n}{K_{n'}^{m\star}(a_r)K_n^m(a_r)}\;|\Psi_{n'+m}\rangle\,\langle\Psi_{n+m}|\,\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{H}_m}. \quad (40)$$

The diagonal matrix elements of the above relation, using the orthonormality of the eigenfunctions $|\Psi_n\rangle$, gives:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z\;\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z|^2;a_r)|z|^{2n}\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r) = |K_n^m(a_r)|^2. \quad (41)$$

We can see, after computation of the angular integration, that the weight function ω_m must fulfill the condition:

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx\;x^n\;\mathcal{W}_m(x;a_r) = |K_n^m(a_r)|^2, \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{W}_m(x;a_r) = \pi\mathcal{N}_m^2(x;a_r)\;\omega_m(x;a_r). \quad (42)$$

Here we use the polar representation $z = re^{i\phi}$; x stands for $|z|^2 = r^2$. Therefore, the weight function ω_m is related to the undetermined moment distribution $\mathcal{W}_m(x;a_r)$, which is the solution of the Stieltjes moment problem with the moments given by $|K_n^m(a_r)|^2$.

Let us point out here that there are several methods to determine the measure $\omega_m(x;a_r)$. Depending on the form of the coefficient $K_n^m(a_r)$, we can refer to well known results following

standard handbooks of tabulated integrals[34]-[35]. Another way is to use a transformation procedure, like Fourier or Mellin, to determine the measure.

In the Fourier representation, $\mathcal{W}_m(x;a_r)$ is given by[13],

$$\mathcal{W}_m(x;a_r)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dt\Phi_m(t;a_r)e^{-ixt},\quad \text{where}\quad \phi_m(t;a_r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|K_n^m(a_r)|^2\frac{(it)^n}{n!}. \quad (43)$$

The explicit expression of $\mathcal{W}(x,m)$ depends on the term of $K_n^m(a_r)$ and can be worked out following standard Handbooks of tabulated integrals[34]-[35].

To use Mellin transformation, we have to rewrite (42) as

$$\int_0^{\infty}dx\ x^{n+m}\ g_m(x;a_r)=|K_n^m(a_r)|^2,\quad \text{where}\quad g_m(x;a_r)=\pi\mathcal{N}_m^2(x;a_r)x^{-m}\ \omega_m(x;a_r) \quad (44)$$

Let us consider the Meijer's G-function and the Mellin inversion theorem[36]

$$\int_0^{\infty}dx\,x^{s-1}G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left(\alpha x\left|\begin{matrix}a_1,...,a_n;a_{n+1},...,a_p\\ b_1,...,b_m;b_{m+1},...,b_q\end{matrix}\right.\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha^s}\ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m}\Gamma(b_j+s)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Gamma(1-a_j-s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q}\Gamma(1-b_j-s)\prod_{j=n+1}^{p}\Gamma(a_j+s)}. \quad (45)$$

Performing the variable change $n+m\to s-1$, Eq. (44) becomes:

$$\int_0^{\infty}dx x^{s-1}g_m(x;a_r)=|K_s^m(a_r)|^2. \quad (46)$$

In the different examples of the next section, $|K_s^m(a_r)|^2$ in the above relation can be expressed in terms of Gamma functions as in the second member of the Mellin inversion theorem (45). Then comparing the equations (45) and (46), $g_m(x;a_r)$ can be identified as the Meijer's G-function:

$$g_m(x;a_r)=G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left(\alpha x\left|\begin{matrix}a_1,...,a_n;a_{n+1},...,a_p\\ b_1,...,b_m;b_{m+1},...,b_q\end{matrix}\right.\right). \quad (47)$$

Therefore, the measure ω_m can be derived from Eq. (44). The overcompleteness of the PA-SIPCS on $\mathfrak{H}_m$ leads to discuss the relation with the reproducing kernels.

D. Reproducing kernel

Define the quantity $\mathcal{K}(z,z'):={}_m\langle z';a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m$. From

$${}_m\langle z';a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m=\frac{\mathcal{N}_m(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)}{\mathcal{N}_m^2(z'^{\star}z;a_r)} \quad (48)$$

we obtain

$$\overline{{}_m\langle z';a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_m(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)}{\mathcal{N}_m^2(z^\star z';a_r)} := \mathcal{K}(z',z). \tag{49}$$

$\mathcal{K}(z,z')$ is a reproducing kernel through the following result:

Proposition III.1 *The following properties*

$$(i) \quad \textit{Hermiticity} \quad \mathcal{K}(z,z') = \overline{\mathcal{K}(z',z)}, \tag{50}$$

$$(ii) \quad \textit{Positivity} \quad K(z,z) > 0, \tag{51}$$

$$(ii) \quad \textit{Idempotence} \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z''\ \omega_m(|z''|^2;a_r)\mathcal{K}(z,z'')\mathcal{K}(z'',z') \tag{52}$$

are satisfied by the function $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathfrak{H}_m$.

Proof.

(i) Hermiticity: using (48) and (49), we get

$$\mathcal{K}(z,z') = \mathcal{K}(z',z)^\star. \tag{53}$$

(ii) Positivity: from (49), we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(z,z) = {}_m\langle z;a_r\,|z;a_r\rangle_m = \frac{\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)}{\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z|^2;a_r)} = 1 > 0. \tag{54}$$

(iii) Idempotence: let $\mathcal{I} = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z''\ \omega_m(|z''|^2;a_r)\mathcal{K}(z,z'')\mathcal{K}(z'',z')$. Then, Setting $\xi_{m,m'}(z,z';a_r) = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z'|^2;a_r)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &= \xi_{m,m'}(z,z';a_r)\int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z''\ \omega_m(|z''|^2;a_r)\frac{\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z''|^2;a_r)}{\mathcal{N}_m^2(zz''^\star;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m^2(z''z'^\star;a_r)} \\
&= \xi_{m,m'}(z,z';a_r)\sum_{k,p=0}^{\infty}\int_0^\infty\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{e^{-i(k-p)\theta''}\,r''^{k+p}}{|K_k^m(a_r)|^2}\frac{z^k(z'^\star)^p}{|K_p^m(a_r)|^2}\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z''|^2;a_r)r''dr''\,d\theta''\omega_m(|z''|^2;a_r) \\
&= \xi_{m,m'}(z,z';a_r)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^k(z'^\star)^k}{|K_k^m(a_r)|^2}\int_0^\infty 2\pi\times\frac{dx''}{2}\frac{x''^k}{|K_k^m(a_r)|^2}\omega_m(x'';a_r)\mathcal{N}_m^2(|z''|^2;a_r) \\
&= \xi_{m,m'}(z,z';a_r)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\sqrt{zz'^\star})^{2k}}{|K_k^m(a_r)|^2}\left\{\int_0^\infty\frac{x''^{k+m}}{K_k^m(a_r)|^2}\,g_m(x'';a_r)dx''\right\} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z'|^2;a_r)}{\mathcal{N}_m^2(zz'^\star;a_r)} = \mathcal{K}(z,z')
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

which completes the proof.

□

E. Photon number statistics

Here, we deal with some nonclassical properties, which will be checked for the constructed PA-SIPCS, such as the photon number distribution (PND), the Mandel Q-parameter and the second order correlation function.

(i) The PND

The probability of finding the vector $|\Psi_n\rangle$ in the states $|z;a_r\rangle_m$, i.e., the PND which exhibits oscillations, corresponding to the probability of finding n quanta in the PA-SIPCS, is given by[23],[32]

$$\mathcal{P}_n^m(x;a_r) := |\langle n|z;a_r\rangle_m|^2 = \mathcal{N}_m(x;a_r)^2 \frac{x^{n-m}}{|K_{n-m}^m(a_r)|^2}, \quad x = |z|^2. \tag{56}$$

It reduces to a Poisson distribution for the conventional CS, for $m \to 0$. This distribution exhibits strong oscillations and its variance is less than that for a Poisson distribution.

(ii) The Mandel Q-parameter and the second-order correlation function

The Mandel Q-parameter yields the information about photon statistics of the quantum states. It is defined as[31]:

$$Q := \frac{(\Delta N)^2}{\langle N\rangle} - 1, \quad \text{with} \quad (\Delta N)^2 := \left\langle N^2\right\rangle - \langle N\rangle^2 \tag{57}$$

and also expressed as the second-order correlation function given by

$$g^2 := \frac{\langle N^2\rangle - \langle N\rangle}{\langle N\rangle^2} \tag{58}$$

where the mean values of the operator $N := H - E_0 = B_+B_-$ and its square in the PA-SIPCS, are defined as:

$$\langle N\rangle := {}_m\langle z;a_r| N |z;a_r\rangle_m, \quad \left\langle N^2\right\rangle := {}_m\langle z;a_r| N^2 |z;a_r\rangle_m. \tag{59}$$

The Mandel Q-parameter (or the second-order correlation function) determines whether the PA-SIPCS have a photon number distribution. This latter is sub-Poissonian (anti-bunching effect) if $-1 \leq Q < 0$ (or $g^2 < 1$), Poissoinian if $Q = 0$ (or $g^2 = 1$), and super-Poissonian

(bunching effect) if $Q > 0$ (or $g^2 > 1$).

One can check that, for a PA-SIPCS (35), the expectation values (59) are:

$$\langle N \rangle = \mathcal{N}_m^2(|z|^2; a_r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n+m} \, \frac{|z|^{2n}}{|K_n^m(a_r)|^2} \; , \; \langle N^2 \rangle = \mathcal{N}_m^2(|z|^2; a_r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n+m}^2 \, \frac{|z|^{2n}}{|K_n^m(a_r)|^2}. \quad (60)$$

In the next section, the quantum statistical features will be explicitly computed for concrete expressions of the coefficient $K_n^m(a_r)$.

IV. SOME EXAMPLES

In this section, we construct the PA-SIPCS for different shape-invariant systems using the Infeld and Hull[33] factorization method classification. We consider the examples of C, D and A types treated in[13] so that, if we put $m = 0$ in our PA-SIPCS states, we recover their corresponding ordinary SIPCS.

A. Type C and D SIP systems

They are the simplest shape invariant systems. The superpotentials in this case are:

$$W_C(x; a_1) = \frac{a_1 + \delta}{x} + \frac{\beta}{2} x \quad (61)$$

$$W_D(x; a_1) = \beta x + \delta \quad (62)$$

with the shape invariant condition (9) written now as:

$$\begin{array}{lll} V_2(x; a_1) \; = \; V_1(x; a_1 - 1) + 2\beta & & \text{for C-type} \\ V_2(x; a_1) \; = \; V_1(x; a_1) + 2\beta & & \text{for D-type,} \end{array} \quad (63)$$

the relations between the parameters expressed in the form:

$$\begin{array}{lll} a_{n+1} \; = \; a_n - 1 & & \text{for C-type} \\ a_{n+1} \; = \; a_n = a_{n-1} = \ldots = a_1 & & \text{for D-type} \end{array} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (64)$$

The remainders are the same for both C and D systems:

$$\mathcal{R}(a_1) = \mathcal{R}(a_2) = \ldots = \mathcal{R}(a_n) = 2\beta = \gamma. \quad (65)$$

1. *D-Type shape invariant systems*

The products in the expansion coefficient (33) for D-type systems give

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)=\gamma^m\ \frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)},\qquad \prod_{k=m+1}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right)=\gamma^n n!. \tag{66}$$

The constant values of the potential parameters for D-type SIP imply that we should have $\mathcal{Z}_j=c=constant$. Then

$$\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1}\mathcal{Z}_{j+k}=c^n. \tag{67}$$

Inserting Eqs. (66), (67) in the relation (33), we obtain the result for D-type systems:

$$K_n^m(a_r)=\frac{\gamma^{(n-m)/2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(n+m+1)}}\ \frac{n!}{c^n}. \tag{68}$$

The unnormalized form of the D-type PA-SIPCS can be written as:

$$|z;a_r\rangle_m=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)}{\gamma^{n-m}}}\ \frac{c^n z^n}{n!}\,|\Psi_{n+m}\rangle\,. \tag{69}$$

From

$$\frac{1}{|K_n^m(a_r)|^2}=\gamma^m\frac{\Gamma(m+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)}\ \left(\frac{c^2}{\gamma}\right)^n\ \frac{1}{n!} \tag{70}$$

we deduce the normalization constant as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)=\left[\gamma^m\ \Gamma(m+1)\ {}_1F_1\left(m+1;1;\frac{|cz|^2}{\gamma}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \tag{71}$$

where ${}_1F_1$ is the generalized hypergeometric function. It can be obtained in a more explicit way in terms of Meijer's G-function by:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)=\left[\gamma^m G^{1,1}_{1,2}\left(-\frac{|cz|^2}{\gamma}\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}-m\ ;\\ 0\ \ ;\ 0\end{matrix}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \tag{72}$$

where we use the following relation between the generalized hypergeometric function and the Meijer's G-function[36]:

$${}_pF_q(a_1,\ldots,a_p;b_1,\ldots,b_q;x)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p}\Gamma(a_j)}\,G^{1,p}_{p,q+1}\left(-x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(1-a_p)\ ;\\ 0\ ;\ (1-b_q)\end{matrix}\right). \tag{73}$$

The explicit form of the PA-SIPCS, defined for any finite $|z|$, can be read as:

$$|z,a_r\rangle_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^m\ \Gamma(m+1)\ {}_1F_1\left(m+1;1;\frac{|cz|^2}{\gamma}\right)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)}{\gamma^{n-m}}}\ \frac{c^n z^n}{n!}\ |\Psi_{n+m}\rangle\ . \quad (74)$$

For $m=0$, the expressions of $K_n^m(a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)$ reduce to $h_n(|z|^2;a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}(|z|^2;a_r)$, respectively, obtained in[13] for the corresponding ordinary SIPCS:

$$|z;a_r\rangle = \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\frac{|cz|^2}{\gamma}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n z^n}{\sqrt{\gamma^n n!}}\ |\Psi_n\rangle\ . \quad (75)$$

Using (38), the inner product $\mathcal{P} = {}_{m'}\langle z';a_r\ |z;a_r\rangle_m$ of two different PA-SIPCS $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ and $|z';a_r\rangle_{m'}$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)c^{2n}c^{m-m'}(z'^{\star}z)^n z'^{\star m-m'}}{\gamma^{(n+m-2m')/2}\gamma^{(n-m)/2}(n+m-m')!n!}. \quad (76)$$

This relation can be expressed in terms of generalized hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1$, as:

$$\mathcal{P} = \xi(m,m',|z|,|z'|)\ {}_1F_1\left(m+1;m-m'+1;\frac{|c|^2 z'^{\star}z}{\gamma}\right) \quad (77)$$

where $\xi(m,m',|z|,|z'|) = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)c^{m-m'}\ (z'^{\star})^{m-m'}\gamma^{m'}\ \dfrac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m-m'+1)}$.
In terms of Meijer's G- function, we have:

$$\mathcal{P} = \chi(m,m',|z|,|z'|)\ G_{2,1}^{1,1}\left(-\frac{z'^{\star}z|c|^2}{\gamma}\middle|\begin{array}{c} -m\ ;\ 0 \\ 0\ \ ;\ m'-m \end{array}\right) \quad (78)$$

where $\chi(m,m',|z|,|z'|) = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)c^{m-m'}\ (z'^{\star})^{m-m'}\gamma^{m'}$. For $m=m'=0$, we recover the inner product $\mathcal{P} = \exp\left[-\frac{|c|^2}{2\gamma}(|z'|^2+|z|^2-2z'^{\star}z)\right]$ obtained in[13] for the corresponding SIPCS.

Let us turn now to the problem of overcompleteness. The relation (44) gives in this D-type case:

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx\ x^{n+m}\ g_m(x;a_r) = |K_n^m(a_r)|^2 = \frac{\gamma^n n!\ \Gamma(n+1)}{|c|^{2n}\ \gamma^m\ \Gamma(n+m+1)} \quad (79)$$

which, after performing the variable change $n+m \to s-1$, leads to:

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx\ x^{s-1}\ h_m(x;a_r) = \left(\frac{|c|^2}{\gamma}\right)^{-s} \frac{(\Gamma(s-m))^2}{\Gamma(s)} \quad (80)$$



FIG. 1. Plots of the weight function (82) of the PA-SIPCS (74) versus $|z|^2$ with $|c|^2 = \gamma$, for different values of the photon added number m with $m = 1$ (thin solid line), $m = 2$ (solid line), $m = 3$ (dot line), and $m = 4$ (dashed line).

where $h_m(x; a_r) = g_m(x; a_r)\gamma^{2m+1}\ c^{-2(m+1)}$. From the formula (45) of the Mellin-inversion theorem, we get:

$$h_m(x; a_r) = G^{2,0}_{1,2}\left(\frac{|c|^2}{\gamma}x\middle|\begin{matrix} \\ -m, -m \end{matrix}\ ;\ 0\ ;\right) \tag{81}$$

and the weight function gives

$$\omega_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{|c|^2}{\gamma}\, G^{1,1}_{1,2}\left(-\frac{|cz|^2}{\gamma}\middle|\begin{matrix} -m \\ 0 \end{matrix}\ ;\ 0\right) G^{2,0}_{1,2}\left(\frac{|c|^2}{\gamma}|z|^2\middle|\begin{matrix} \\ 0, 0 \end{matrix}\ ;\ m\ ;\right) \tag{82}$$

where we use (72) and the multiplication formula of the Meijer's G-function[36]

$$x^\alpha G^{p,q}_{m,n}\left(x\middle|\begin{matrix}(a_p)\\(b_q)\end{matrix}\right) = G^{p,q}_{m,n}\left(x\middle|\begin{matrix}(a_p+\alpha)\\(b_q+\alpha)\end{matrix}\right). \tag{83}$$

Since the measure in equation (41) must be necessary positive, the function $\omega_m(|z|^2; a_r)$ must be a positive function. This is confirmed in FIG. 1, where we represent the weight functions (82) for $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We can see that the weight function has a singularity at $x = |z|^2 = 0$ and tends to zero for $x \to \infty$. For $m = 0$, we recover the measure $\omega_0(|z|^2; a_r) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{|c|^2}{\gamma}$ obtained in[13]. Let us note that, by the variable change $z \to cz/\sqrt{\gamma}$, we obtain, for $m = 0$, the bosonic coherent states of the harmonic oscillator[3]. Then, the PA-SIPCS (74) for $|c|^2 = \gamma$ can be considered as the photon-added coherent states of the

harmonic oscillator.



FIG. 2. Plots of the Mandel Q-parameter (86)(a) and the second-order correlation function (87)(b) of the PA-SIPCS (74) versus $|z|$, for different values of the photon added number m, with $m = 1$ (thin solid line), $m = 2$ (solid line), $m = 5$ (dot line), and $m = 10$ (dashed line).

To finish with the D-type SI system, let us analyse the statistical properties of its PA-SIPCS states. Taking into account the expressions (68) and (71) of the factors $K_n^m(a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r)$, the expectation values $\langle N\rangle$ and $\langle N^2\rangle$ (60) are given by:

$$\langle N\rangle = m\gamma\ \frac{{}_2F_2(m+1, m+1; 1, m; |cz|^2/\gamma)}{{}_1F_1(m+1; 1; |cz|^2/\gamma)} \tag{84}$$

$$\left\langle N^2\right\rangle = m^2\gamma^2\ \frac{{}_3F_3(m+1, m+1, m+1; 1, m, m; |cz|^2/\gamma)}{{}_1F_1(m+1; 1; ||cz|^2/\gamma)}. \tag{85}$$

Then, the Mandel Q-parameter and the second-order correlation function can be deduced, respectively, as:

$$Q = m\gamma\left(\frac{{}_3\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}{{}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma)} - \frac{{}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}{{}_1\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}\right) - 1, \tag{86}$$

$$g^2 = \frac{m\gamma\ {}_3\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2; m, \gamma) -{}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}{m\gamma\ {}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}\ \frac{{}_1\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}{{}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma)}, \tag{87}$$

where ${}_1\mathcal{F}_1$, ${}_2\mathcal{F}_2$ and ${}_3\mathcal{F}_3$ are the generalized hypergeometric functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2; m, \gamma) &= {}_1F_1(m+1; 1; |cz|^2/\gamma)\\
{}_2\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \gamma) &= {}_2F_2(m+1, m+1; 1, m; |cz|^2/\gamma)\\
{}_3\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2; m, \gamma) &= {}_3F_3(m+1, m+1, m+1; 1, m, m; |cz|^2/\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

The PND (56) reads as

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{(m)}(|z|^2;\gamma) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)\,{}_1F_1(1+m;1;|cz|^2/\gamma)}\,\frac{(|cz|^2/\gamma)^{n-m}}{((n-m)!)^2} \tag{88}$$



FIG. 3. Plots of the photon number distribution (88) of the PA-SIPCS (74) versus the photon number n for different values of the photon-added number m for $|z| = 2$(a) and $|z| = 5$(b), respectively, with $m = 0$ (thin solid line), $m = 1$ (solid line), $m = 2$ (dot line) and $m = 3$ (dashed line).

In FIG. 2, the Mandel Q-parameter (86) and the second-order correlation function (87) derived for the PA-SIPCS (74) have been plotted in terms of the amplitude $|z|$, in (a) and (b), respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m, where $|c|^2 = \gamma$. As shown in FIG. 2, the number m increases as the Mandel Q-parameter decreases, while the second-order correlation function increases. In addition, the Mandel Q-parameter increasing, takes negative values for given $|z|$ and m and asymptotically tends to 0. As for the second-order correlation function, it increases and asymptotically tends to 1. This indicates that the PA-SIPCS obey sub-Poissonian distribution statistics. Hence, for larger z, the PA-SIPCS (74) behave like the states exhibiting Poissonian statistics.

In FIG. 3, the PND of the PA-SIPCS (74), as a function of $|z|$, is depicted in (a) and (b) for $|z| = 2$ and $|z| = 5$, respectively, with different values of the photon-added number m. As $|z|$ and m increase, the peaks decrease and shift to the right.

2. C-Type shape invariant systems

For C-type systems, we consider the auxiliary function[13]:

$$g(a_j; c, d) = ca_j + d \tag{89}$$

where c and d are constants. Using the potential parameter relations (64), we can obtain, after a straightforward computation, that:

$$\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1} g(a_j; c, d) = (-c)^n \frac{\Gamma\left(n + m - a_1 + j - 1 - \frac{d}{c}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m - a_1 + j - 1 - \frac{d}{c}\right)}. \tag{90}$$

Defining the functional $\mathcal{Z}_j := \sqrt{g(a_1; -\gamma, 1)}\, e^{-i\alpha\mathcal{R}(a_1)}$, we get:

$$\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1} Z_{j+k} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^n \Gamma(-\rho + m + n)}{\Gamma(-\rho + m)}}\, e^{-i\alpha n\gamma} \tag{91}$$

where we set $\rho = a_1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}$. This last relation, with (66) inserted in (33), gives for C-Type systems:

$$K_n^m(a_r) = \left[\frac{\Gamma(-\rho + m)\Gamma(n+1)^2}{\gamma^m \Gamma(-\rho + m + n)\Gamma(n + m + 1)}\right]^{1/2} e^{i\alpha n\gamma}. \tag{92}$$

The normalized form of the C-type PA-SIPCS, defined on the unit open disc $|z| < 1$, can be written as:

$$|z; a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^m \Gamma(-\rho + m + n)\Gamma(n + m + 1)}{\Gamma(-\rho + m)}}\, e^{-i\alpha n\gamma}\, \frac{z^n}{n!}\, |\Psi_{n+m}\rangle \tag{93}$$

where the normalization factor is given by:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[\gamma^m\ \Gamma(m+1)\ {}_2F_1\left(m - \rho, m + 1; 1; |z|^2\right)\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{94}$$

Using Eq. (73), the normalization factor can also be formulated in terms of Meijer's G-function as:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[\frac{\gamma^m}{\Gamma(m-\rho)}\ G_{2,2}^{1,2}\left(-|z|^2 \left| \begin{matrix} -m, 1 - m + \rho\ ; \\ 0 \qquad\quad ;\ 0 \end{matrix} \right.\right)\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{95}$$

For $m = 0$, $K_n^m(a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r)$ reduce to the equivalent quantities $h_n(a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}(|z|^2; a_r)$, respectively, for the corresponding ordinary SIPCS[13] :

$$K_n^0(a_r) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(-\rho)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\rho)}}\, e^{i\alpha n\gamma} = h_n(a_r) \tag{96}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_0(|z|^2; a_r) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-\rho/2} = \mathcal{N}(|z|^2; a_r). \tag{97}$$

Then, the PA-SIPCS correspond to ordinary SIPCS obtained in[13] :

$$|z;a_r\rangle = (1-|z|^2)^{-\rho/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n-\rho)}{\Gamma(-\rho)\Gamma(n+1)}}\,e^{-i\alpha n\gamma}\,z^n\,|\Psi_n\rangle \tag{98}$$

which are the Perelomov CS for the SU(1,1) group[9]. Consequently, Eqs. (93) and (94) can be considered as the PA-SIPCS associated to the Perelomov CS.

The inner product of two different PA-SIPCS $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ and $|z';a_r\rangle_{m'}$ follows from Eq (38):

$${}_{m'}\langle z';a_r|\,z;a_r\rangle_m = F(\rho,m,m')\;{}_2F_1(m-\rho,m+1;m-m'+1;z'^{\star}z) \tag{99}$$

where

$$F(\rho,m,m') = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\;\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\;\frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m-m'+1)}\;\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^{(m+m')}\Gamma(-\rho+m)}{\Gamma(-\rho+m')}}\;e^{i\alpha(m-m')} \tag{100}$$

For $m=m'=0$, we recover the result for the SIPCS obtained in[13]:

$${}_0\langle z';a_r|\,z;a_r\rangle_0 = \mathcal{N}(|z'|^2;a_r)\mathcal{N}(|z|^2;a_r){}_2F_1(-\rho,1;1;z'^{\star}z) = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)(1-|z'|^2)}}{1-z'^{\star}z}\right]^{-\rho}. \tag{101}$$

Taking into account the expression (92) of the expansion coefficient, the overcompleteness



FIG. 4. Plots of the weight function (105) of the PA-SIPCS (93) versus $|z|^2$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m=0$ (thin solid line), $m=1$ (solid line), $m=2$ (dot line), and $m=3$ (dashed line).

relation (44) becomes

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx\; x^{n+m}g_m(x;a_r) = \frac{\Gamma(-\rho+m)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+1)}{\gamma^m\Gamma(-\rho+m+n)\Gamma(n+m+1)}. \tag{102}$$

Making the variable change $n+m \to s-1$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty dx\ x^{s-1}\ h_m(x;a_r) = \frac{\Gamma(s-m)\Gamma(s-m)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-\rho-1)} \tag{103}$$

where $h_m = \dfrac{\gamma^m}{\Gamma(-\rho+m)} \times g_m$. Identifying Eq. (103) and taking into account the formuula (45) given by the Mellin inversion theorem, we deduce

$$h_m = G_{2,2}^{2,0}\left(|z|^2 \middle| \begin{matrix} & ;\ 0, -\rho-1 \\ -m, -m & ; \end{matrix} \right). \tag{104}$$

Then the measure is derived as

$$\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r = \frac{1}{\pi} G_{2,2}^{1,2}\left(-|z|^2 \middle| \begin{matrix} -m, 1-m+\rho\ ; & \\ 0 & ;\ 0 \end{matrix} \right) G_{2,2}^{2,0}\left(|z|^2 \middle| \begin{matrix} & ;\ m, m-\rho-1 \\ 0,0 & ; \end{matrix} \right) \tag{105}$$

where we use (95) and the multiplication formula of the Meijer's G-function (83). The measure (105) is positive for $\rho < -1$ as shown on the representation in FIG. 4. for $\rho = -2$ and for $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We remark that the measure $\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r)$ for $m \neq 0$ has the same behaviour as the measure corresponding to the conventional coherent states ($m = 0$). It presents singularities at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. For $m = 0$, the Meijer's G-function reduces to $\dfrac{(1-|z|^2)^{-\rho-2}}{\Gamma(-\rho-1)}$ and we recover the measure $-\frac{1}{\pi}(1+\rho)(1-|z|^2)^{-2}$ obtained in[13].



FIG. 5. Plots of the Mandel Q-parameter (108)(a) and the second-order correlation function (109)(b) of the PA-SIPCS (93) versus $|z|$ with the parameter $\rho = -4$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m = 1$ (thin solid line), $m = 2$ (solid line), $m = 5$ (dot line), and $m = 10$ (dashed line).

We end the discussion on C-type SI systems by the study of the statistical properties of

their PA-SIPCS states. Inserting the expressions (92) and (94) of the factors $K_n^m(a_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)$ in Eq. (60), we obtain the expectation values of $\langle N\rangle$ and $\langle N^2\rangle$ as:

$$\langle N\rangle = m\gamma\ \frac{{}_3F_2(-\rho+m,m+1,m+1;1,m;|z|^2)}{{}_2F_1(-\rho+m,m+1;1;|z|^2)} \tag{106}$$

$$\left\langle N^2\right\rangle = m^2\gamma^2\ \frac{{}_4F_3(-\rho+m,m+1,m+1,m+1;1,m,m;|z|^2)}{{}_2F_1(-\rho+m,m+1;1;|z|^2)}. \tag{107}$$

Then, the Mandel Q-parameter and the second-order correlation function can be deduced, respectively, as:

$$Q = m\gamma\left(\frac{{}_4\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho)} - \frac{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_2\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2;m,\rho)}\right) - 1 \tag{108}$$

$$g^2 = \frac{m\gamma\ {}_4\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2;m,\rho) -{}_3\ \mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{m\gamma\ {}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho)}\ \frac{{}_2\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho)}, \tag{109}$$

where ${}_2\mathcal{F}_1$, ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2$ and ${}_4\mathcal{F}_3$ are the generalized hypergeometric functions:

$${}_2\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2;m,\rho) = {}_2F_1(-\rho+m,m+1;1;|z|^2)$$

$${}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2;m,\rho) = {}_3F_2(-\rho+m,m+1,m+1;1,m;|z|^2)$$

$${}_4\mathcal{F}_3(|z|^2;m,\rho) = {}_4F_3(-\rho+m,m+1,m+1,m+1;1,m,m;|z|^2).$$

The PND (56) reads as



FIG. 6. Plots of the photon number dstribution (88) of the PA-SIPCS (93) versus the photon number n for different values of the photon-added number m with parameter $\rho=-8$ for $|z|=0.5$(a) and $|z|=0.8$(b), respectively, with $m=0$ (thin solid line), $m=1$ (solid line), $m=2$ (dot line) and $m=3$ (dashed line).

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{(m)}(|z|^2;\rho) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n-\rho)}{\Gamma(m-\rho)\Gamma(m+1)\ {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(|z|^2;m,\rho)}\ \frac{(|z|)^{2(n-m)}}{((n-m)!)^2}. \tag{110}$$

In FIG. 5, the Mandel Q-parameter (108) and the second-order correlation function (109) derived in the PA-SIPCS (93) are plotted in terms of the amplitude $|z|$, in (a) and (b), respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m with the potential parameter $\rho = -4$. As $|z|$ increases from 0 to 1, the Mandel Q-parameter increases from negative values to positive ones, while the second-order correlation function increases from $g^2 < 1$ to $g^2 > 1$. Thus, there exists a value z_0, depending on the parameter ρ, such that the PASIPCS (93) exhibit sub-Poisonnian distribution for $0 < |z| < |z_0|$, Poissonian for $|z| = |z_0|$ and super-Poisonnian distribution for $|z_0| < |z| < 1$.

In FIG. 6, the PND obtained in the PA-SIPCS (93) as a function of $|z|$, is depicted in (a) and (b) for $|z| = 0.5$ and $|z| = 0.8$, respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m. Increasing the number m shifts the PND. The peaks position increases with the photon-added number m and the amplitude $|z|$, while their intensities decrease.

B. Type A and B SIP systems

The superpotentials for these types of SI systems are:

$$W_A(x; a_1) = \beta(a_1 + \gamma)\cot[u(x)] + \frac{\delta}{\sin[u(x)]}, \quad u(x) \equiv \beta(x + \lambda) \tag{111}$$

$$W_B(x; a_1) = i\beta(a_1 + \gamma) + \delta e^{-i\beta x} \tag{112}$$

where β is a real constant for A-type or pure imaginary for B-type and γ, λ, δ are real constants. For both cases, the remainder in the shape invariant condition (9) is $\mathcal{R}(a_1) = \beta^2[2(a_1 + \gamma) - 1]$, the potential parameters being related as: $a_{n+1} = a_n - 1$. Since we are interested to bound states Hamiltonian, we restrict our attention to A-type systems. For these systems, the products in terms of the quantity $\mathcal{R}(a_s)$ in the numerator and denominator of the coefficient $K_n^m(a_r)$, see Eq. (33), can be read, respectively, as:

$$\prod_{k=m+1}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right) = \beta^{2n}\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2n+2m+2\rho)}{\Gamma(n+2m+2\rho)} \tag{113}$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{s=k}^{n+m}\mathcal{R}(a_s)\right) = \beta^{2m}\frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+2\rho)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+m+2\rho)} \tag{114}$$

where we set $\rho = -(a_1 + \gamma)$. The explicit form of the expansion coefficient $K_n^m(a_r)$ depends on the choice of the functional $\mathcal{Z}_j$. We adopt the functionals used in[13] for commodity of comparison.

1. *First choice of the functional* $\mathcal{Z}_j$

First we make the choice $\mathcal{Z}_j = c$, where c is a real constant. Then $\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1} \mathcal{Z}_{j+k} = c^n$. Inserting this and the results (113) and (114) in (33), we obtain the expansion coefficient as:

$$K_n^m(a_r) = \frac{1}{\kappa^m} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+1)^2\ \Gamma(2n+2m+2\rho)\ \Gamma(n+m+2\rho)}{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+2\rho)^2}} \tag{115}$$

where we set $\kappa = \beta = c$.

(i) Normalization

The normalization factor, in terms of the generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_3F_4$, can readily be deduced from the above relation as:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[\xi(m,\rho)\, {}_3F_4\left(m+1, 2m+2\rho, 2m+2\rho; 1, m+\rho, m+2\rho, m+\rho+1/2; \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \tag{116}$$

where $\xi(m,\rho) = \dfrac{\kappa^{2m}\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(2m+2\rho)}{\Gamma(m+2\rho)}$. In terms of Meijer's G-function, we have:

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[\chi(m,\rho)\ G_{3,5}^{1,3}\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{4}\,\middle|\, \begin{matrix} -m, 1-2m-2\rho, 1-2m-2\rho; \\ 0, 0, 1-m-\rho, 1-m-2\rho, 1/2-m-\rho \end{matrix}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \tag{117}$$

with $\chi(m,\rho) = \dfrac{\kappa^{2m}\Gamma(m+\rho)\Gamma(m+\rho+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2m+2\rho)}$ and where we use the more compact notation of Meijer's G-function.

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left(x\,\middle|\, \begin{matrix} a_1, ..., a_p \\ b_1, ..., b_q \end{matrix}\right). \tag{118}$$

The explicit form of the PA-SIPCS are:

$$|z; a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r)\kappa^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+2\rho)^2}{\Gamma(n+m+2\rho)\Gamma(2n+2m+2\rho)}}\, \frac{z^n}{n!}\, |n+m\rangle \tag{119}$$

defined on the whole complex plane. For $m = 0$, we recover the expansion coefficient and the normalization factor obtained in[13] for the generalized SIPCS:

$$K_n^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2\rho+2n)}{\Gamma(2\rho+n)}} = h_n(a_r),$$
$$\mathcal{N}_0(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[{}_1F_2\left(2\rho; \rho, \rho+1/2; \frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)\right]^{-1/2} = \mathcal{N}(|z|^2; a_r). \tag{120}$$

For giving m, fixing $\rho = 1/2$, the states (119) become

$$|z; a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r)\kappa^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{(n+2m)^{2}!}{(2n+2m)!}}\frac{z^n}{n!}\ |n+m\rangle \tag{121}$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)=\left[\kappa^{2m}\Gamma(2m+1)_2F_3\left(2m+1,2m+1;1,m+1,m+1/2;\frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)\right]^{-1/2}.$$

Setting $m=0$ in (121), we recover the ordinary SIPCS obtained in[12]:

$$|z;a_r\rangle=\sqrt{\mathrm{sech}(|z|)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2n!}}\,|n\rangle\,. \tag{122}$$

(ii) Non-orthogonality

The inner product of two different PA-SIPCS $|z;a_r\rangle_m$ and $|z';a_r\rangle_{m'}$ follows from Eq (38):

$$\begin{aligned}&{}_{m'}\left\langle z';a_r\right|\,z;a_r\rangle_m=\chi(z',z,m,m',\rho)\times\\&\times{}_3F_4\left(m+1,2m+2\rho,m+m'+2\rho;m-m'+1,m+2\rho,m+\rho,m+\rho+\tfrac{1}{2};\frac{z'^{\star}z}{4}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi(z',z,m,m',\rho)=\mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2;a_r)\,\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2;a_r)\;z'^{\star(m-m')}\kappa^{(m+m')}\frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+m'+2\rho)}{\Gamma(m-m'+1)\Gamma(m+2\rho)}.$$

(iii) Overcompleteness



FIG. 7. Plots of the weight function (125) of the PA-SIPCS (119) versus $|z|^2$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m=0$ (thin solid line), $m=$ (solid line), $m=3$ (dot line), and $m=4$ (dashed line).

The non-negative weight function $\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r)$ is related to the function g_m satisfying (44):

$$\int_0^{\infty}dx\,x^{n+m}\,g_m(x;a_r)=\xi(x,n,m,\rho)$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(n+1)^2\Gamma(n+m+2\rho)\Gamma(n+m+\rho)\Gamma(n+m+\rho+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+2\rho)^2} \quad (123)$$

where x stands for $|z|^2$, $\xi(x,n,m,\rho) = \dfrac{2^{(2n+2m+2\rho)}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\kappa^{2m}}$ and $\omega_m = \dfrac{x^m g_m(x;a_r)}{\pi N_m^2(x;a_r)}$. After variable change $n+m \to s-1$, (123) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty dx\, x^{s-1}\, h_m(x;a_r) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s-m)^2\Gamma(s-1+2\rho)\Gamma(s-1+\rho)\Gamma(s-1/2+\rho)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-1+2\rho+m)^2} \quad (124)$$

where $h_m(x;a_r)$ is related to $g_m(x;a_r)$ as: $g_m = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\kappa^{-2m}2^{2(\rho-1)}h_m(x;a_r)$. Then, using the Mellin inversion theorem in terms of Meijer's G-function (45), we deduce:

$$\omega_m(|z|^2;a_r) = \frac{1}{4\pi}G_{3,5}^{1,3}\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{4}\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}-m, 1-2m-2\rho, 1-2m-2\rho;\\ 0,0,1-m-\rho,1-m-2\rho,1/2-m-\rho\end{matrix}\right)\times$$
$$\times G_{3,5}^{5,0}\left(\frac{|z|^2}{4}\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}m,-1+2\rho+2m,-1+2\rho+2m\\ 0,0,2\rho+m-1,-1+m+\rho,-1/2+m+\rho\end{matrix}\right) \quad (125)$$

where we use (117). The weight function (125) is positive for the parameter $\rho > 0$ as confirmed in FIG. 7, where the curves are represented for $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ and for $m = 0,1,2,3$. All the functions are positive for $x = |z|^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and tend asymptotically to the measure of the conventional CS ($m = 0$). The measure has a singularity at $x = 0$ and tends to zero for $x \to \infty$. For $m = 0$ and $\rho = 1/2$ we have $h_0 = 2\sqrt{\pi}\dfrac{e^{-|z|}}{|z|}$ and $\mathcal{N}_0^2(|z|^2;a_r) = \mathrm{sech}(|z|)$. Then, we recover the weight function $\omega(|z|^2;a_r) = \dfrac{\cosh(|z|)}{\pi}\dfrac{e^{-|z|}}{2|z|}$ obtained in[12].

(iv) Statistical properties

We consider now the statistical properties of the state (119). The expectation values $\langle H\rangle$ and $\langle H^2\rangle$ result from the expressions (115) and (116) of K_n^m and $\mathcal{N}_m$, respectively, as:

$$\langle N\rangle = \kappa^2 m(m+2\rho)\frac{{}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}4(|z|^2;m,\rho)} \quad , \quad \langle N^2\rangle = \kappa^4 m^2(m+2\rho)^2\frac{{}_7\mathcal{F}_8(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2;m,\rho)}. \quad (126)$$

Then, the Mandel Q-parameter and the second-order correlation function are derived, respectively, as:

$$Q = \kappa^2 m(m+2\rho)\left(\frac{{}_7\mathcal{F}_8(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)} - \frac{{}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2;m,\rho)}\right) - 1 \quad (127)$$

$$g^2 = \frac{m\kappa^2(m+2\rho)\ {}_7\mathcal{F}_8(|z|^2;m,\rho) -{}_5\,\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{m\kappa^2(m+2\rho)\ {}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)}\frac{{}_3\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2;m,\rho)}{{}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2;m,\rho)}, \quad (128)$$



FIG. 8. Plots of the Mandel Q-parameter (127)(a) and the second-order correlation function (128)(b) of the PA-SIPCS (119) versus $|z|$ with the parameter $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m = 1$ (thin solid line), $m = 2$ (solid line), $m = 5$ (dot line), and $m = 10$ (dashed line).

where ${}_3\mathcal{F}_4$, ${}_5\mathcal{F}_6$ and ${}_7\mathcal{F}_8$ are the generalized hypergeometric functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_3\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \rho) &= {}_3F_4\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, 2m+2\rho, 2m+2\rho & ; \\ 1, m+\rho, m+2\rho, m+\rho+\frac{1}{2} & ; \ |z|^2/4 \end{array}\right) \\
{}_5\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2; m, \rho) &= {}_5F_6\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, m+1, 2m+2\rho, 2m+2\rho, m+1+2\rho & ; \\ 1, m, m+\rho, m+2\rho, m+2\rho, m+\rho+\frac{1}{2} & ; \ |z|^2/4 \end{array}\right) \\
{}_7\mathcal{F}_8(|z|^2; m, \rho) &= {}_7F_8\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, m+1, m+1, 2m+2\rho, 2m+2\rho, m+1+2\rho, m+1+2\rho & ; \\ 1, m, m, m+\rho, m+2\rho, m+2\rho, m+2\rho, m+\rho+\frac{1}{2} & ; \ |z|^2/4 \end{array}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

where we adopt in the sequel the following notation

$$
{}_pF_q\left(\begin{array}{ll} a_1, \ldots, a_p & ; \\ b_1, \ldots, b_q & ; \ x \end{array}\right). \tag{129}
$$

for long hypergometric function instead of the form in (73). The PND (56) reads as

$$
\mathcal{P}_n^{(m)}(|z|^2; \rho) = \frac{\Gamma(m+2\rho)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+m+2\rho)^2}{\Gamma(2m+2\rho)\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(n+2\rho)\Gamma(2n+2\rho)\,{}_3\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \rho)} \frac{(|z|)^{2(n-m)}}{((n-m)!)^2}. \tag{130}
$$

In FIG. 8, the Mandel Q-parameter (127) and the second-order correlation function (128) calculated in the PA-SIPCS (119) are plotted in terms of the amplitude $|z|$, in (a) and (b), respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m with the potential parameter $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$. We see that the Mandel Q-parameter increases with the amplitude $|z|$, while the



FIG. 9. Plots of the photon number dstribution (130) of the PA-SIPCS (119) versus the photon number n for different values of the photon-added number m with $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ as parameter for $|z| = 5$(a) and $|z| = 20$(b), respectively, with $m = 0$ (thin solid line), $m = 1$ (solid line), $m = 2$ (dot line) and $m = 3$ (dashed line).

second-order correlation function decreases. In addition, for sufficiently large values of $|z|$, the Mandel Q-parameter is positive while the second-order correlation function is greater than one. Therefore, the PA-SIPCS (119) exhibit super-Poissonian distribution for large values of $|z|$.

In FIG. 9, the PND derived in the PA-SIPCS (93) as a function of $|z|$, is depicted for $|z| = 5$ and $|z| = 20$, in (a) and (b) with the potential parameter $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m. The peaks position increases with both the photon-added number m and the amplitude $|z|$.

2. Second choice of the functional $\mathcal{Z}_j$

We make the second choice of the functional $\mathcal{Z}_j$ as:

$$\mathcal{Z}_j = \sqrt{g(a_1; -2\kappa, \kappa) g(a_1; -2\kappa, 2\kappa)}\, e^{-i\alpha \mathcal{R}}(a_1) \tag{131}$$

with κ a real constant and where we use the auxiliary function (89). From the potential parameter relations (64) and Eq. (90) we obtain:

$$\prod_{k=m}^{n+m-1} \mathcal{Z}_{j+k} = \sqrt{\kappa^{2n} \frac{\Gamma(2n + 2m + \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(2m + \nu + 1)}} e^{-i\alpha E_n} \tag{132}$$

where we assume $a_1 = -\frac{\nu}{2}$, the eigenenergies being $E_n = \kappa^2 n(n+\nu+1)$. Inserting Eqs. (132), (113) and (114) in the expansion coefficient (33), we obtain

$$K_n^m(a_r) = \left[\frac{1}{\kappa^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)^2\,\Gamma(n+m+\nu+1)\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+\nu+1)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\alpha E_n}, \tag{133}$$

where we assume $\beta = \kappa$ and $\rho = \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ in (113) and (114). For $m = 0$, we recover the coefficient h_n in[13]:

$$K_n^0(a_r) = \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\alpha E_n} = h_n(a_r). \tag{134}$$

(i) Normalization

The normalization factor in terms of hypergeometric and Meijer's G-functions is

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \xi(m,\nu) \left[{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} m+1, 2m+\nu+1, 2m+\nu+1 \\ 1, m+\nu+1 \end{matrix}\;;\; |z|^2\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{135}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \left[\frac{\kappa^{2m}}{\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)} G^{1,3}_{3,3}\left(-|z|^2 \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix} -m, -2m-\nu, -2m-\nu \\ 0, 0, -m-\nu \end{matrix}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{136}$$

where $\xi(m,\nu) = \kappa^{2m}\Gamma(m+1)\frac{\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}$. For $m = 0$, we recover the normalization factor

$$\mathcal{N}_0(|z|^2; a_r) = {}_1F_0(\nu+1; -; |z|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (1-|z|^2)^{-1/2-\nu/2} = \mathcal{N}(|z|^2; a_r) \tag{137}$$

obtained in[13]. In this case, the explicit form of the PA-SIPCS, defined for $|z| < 1$, is provided by:

$$|z; a_r\rangle_m = \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\kappa^{2m} \frac{\Gamma(n+m+1)\Gamma(n+2m+\nu+1)^2}{\Gamma(n+1)^2\Gamma(n+m+\nu+1)\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)}}\, z^n e^{-i\alpha E_n} |n+m\rangle\,. \tag{138}$$

(ii) Non-orthogonality

The inner product of two different PA-SIPCS $|z; a_r\rangle_m$ and $|z'; a_r\rangle_{m'}$ is given by:

$${}_{m'}\langle z'; a_r|\, z; a_r\rangle_m = \chi(z', z, m, m', \nu)\, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} m+1, m+m'+\nu+1, 2m+\nu+1 \\ m-m'+1, m+\nu+1 \end{matrix}\;;\; z'^{\star} z\right)$$

where

$$\chi(z', z, m, m', \nu') = \mathcal{N}_{m'}(|z'|^2; a_r)\, \mathcal{N}_m(|z|^2; a_r)\, \frac{z'^{\star(m-m')} \kappa^{(m+m')}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)\Gamma(2m'+\nu+1)}} \times$$
$$\times \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+m'+\nu+1)\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(m-m'+1)\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}\, e^{i\alpha(E_n - E_{n+m-m'})}.$$



FIG. 10. Plots of the measure (139) of the PA-SIPCS (138) versus $|z|^2$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m = 0$ (thin solid line), $m = 1$ (solid line) and $m = 2$ (dot line)

(iii) Overcompleteness

Following the steps and the method of the previous subsections, we obtain the weight-function of the PA-SIPCS as

$$\omega_m(|z|^2; a_r) = \frac{1}{\pi} G^{1,3}_{3,3}\left(-|z|^2 \left| \begin{matrix} -m, -2m-\nu, -2m-\nu \\ 0, 0, -m-\nu \end{matrix} \right. \right) G^{3,0}_{3,3}\left(|z|^2 \left| \begin{matrix} m, 2m+\nu, 2m+\nu \\ 0, 0, m+\nu \end{matrix} \right. \right) \quad (139)$$

The measure (139) as represented in FIG. 10, for $\nu = 1.5$ and $m = 0, 1, 2$ is positive for $\nu > 0$. We recover, for $m = 0$, the result:

$$\omega_0(|z|^2; a_r) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\pi} {}_1F_0(\nu+1; -; |z|^2)\, G^{1,0}_{1,1}\left(|z|^2 \left| \begin{matrix} \; ; \; \nu \\ 0 \; ; \end{matrix} \right. \right) = \frac{\nu}{\pi}(1-|z|^2)^{-2} \quad (140)$$

obtained in[13] for the corresponding ordinary SIPCS.

(iv) Statistical properties

The expectation values $\langle N \rangle$ and $\langle N^2 \rangle$ in the state (138) are provided as follows:

$$\langle N \rangle = \kappa^2 m(m+\nu+1) \frac{{}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu)}\ ,\ \langle N^2 \rangle = \kappa^4 m^2 (m+\nu+1)^2 \frac{{}_7\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu)}. \quad (141)$$

Then, the Mandel Q-parameter and the second-order correlation function are given by:

$$Q = \kappa^2 m(m+\nu+1)\left(\frac{{}_7\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{{}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)} - \frac{{}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu)} \right) - 1 \quad (142)$$

$$g^2 = \frac{m\kappa^2(m+\nu+1)\ {}_7\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2; m, \nu) -{}_5\,\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{m\kappa^2(m+\nu+1)\ {}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)} \frac{{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu)}{{}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu)} \quad (143)$$



FIG. 11. Plots of the Mandel Q-parameter (142)(a) and the second-order correlation function (143)(b) of the PA-SIPCS (138) versus $|z|$ with the parameter $\nu = 5$ for different values of the photon added number m with $m = 1$ (thin solid line), $m = 2$ (solid line), $m = 5$ (dot line), and $m = 10$ (dashed line).

where ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2$, ${}_5\mathcal{F}_4$ and ${}_7\mathcal{F}_6$ are the generalized hypergeometric functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu) &= {}_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, 2m+\nu+1, 2m+\nu+1 \ ; & \\ 1, m+\nu+1 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad ; & |z|^2 \end{array}\right) \\
{}_5\mathcal{F}_4(|z|^2; m, \nu) &= {}_5F_4\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, m+1, 2m+\nu+1, 2m+\nu+1, m+\nu+2 \ ; & \\ 1, m, m+\nu+1, m+\nu+1 \qquad\qquad\quad ; & |z|^2 \end{array}\right) \\
{}_7\mathcal{F}_6(|z|^2; m, \nu) &= {}_7F_6\left(\begin{array}{ll} m+1, m+1, m+1, 2m+\nu+1, 2m+\nu+1, m+\nu+2, m+\nu+2 \ ; & \\ 1, m, m, m+\nu+1, m+\nu+1, m+\nu+1 \qquad\qquad ; & |z|^2 \end{array}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The PND (56) reads as

$$
\mathcal{P}_n^{(m)}(|z|^2; \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(m+\nu+1)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+m+\nu+1)^2}{\Gamma(2m+\nu+1)^2\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(n+\nu+1)\,{}_3\mathcal{F}_2(|z|^2; m, \nu)} \frac{(|z|)^{2(n-m)}}{((n-m)!)^2} \tag{144}
$$

In FIG. 11, the Mandel Q-parameter (142) and the second-order correlation function (143) calculated in the PA-SIPCS (138) are plotted in terms of the amplitude $|z|$, in (a) and (b), respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m with the potential parameter $\nu = 5$. We observe that the Mandel Q-parameter increases with the amplitude $|z|$, while the second-order correlation function decreases. Furthermore, for sufficiently large values of $|z|$, the Mandel Q-parameter is positive while the second-order correlation function is greater than one. Therefore, the PA-SIPCS (138) exhibit super-Poissonian distribution for large values of $|z|$.



FIG. 12. Plots of the photon number dstribution (144) of the PA-SIPCS (138) versus the photon number n for different values of the photon-added number m with the parameter $\nu = 5$ for $|z| = 0.5$(a) and $|z| = 0.8$ (b), respectively, with $m = 0$ (thin solid line), $m = 1$ (solid line), $m = 2$ (dot line) and $m = 3$ (dashed line).

In FIG. 12, the PND derived in the PA-SIPCS (138) as a function of $|z|$, is depicted for $|z| = 0.5$ and $|z| = 0.8$, in (a) and (b) with the potential parameter $\nu = 5$, respectively, for different values of the photon-added number m. Increasing the photon-added number m engenders a clear shift of the PND. Comparing Figures (a) and (b), it comes that the peaks position increases with the amplitude $|z|$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a set of non-classical states, i.e, the photon-added coherent states associated with the shape-invariant systems, denoted PA-SIPCS, have been constructed and fully characterized from mathematics and physics points of view. The formalism has been illustrated on some relevant examples withdrawn from Infeld and Hull[33] classes. The generalized states obtained here encompass the previous known results in the literature as particular cases. Relevant physical quantities have been expressed in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$ and the Meijer's G-functions. The moment problem has been explicitly solved by using the Mellin inversion theorem and the Meijer's G-function.

The statistical properties involving the PND, Q-Mandel parameter and the second-order correlation function of the investigated physical systems have been described and thoroughly discussed. The Poissonian, sub-Poissonian and super-Poissonian distribution behaviors of

the PA-SIPCS in the studied main physical systems have been highlighted.

REFERENCES

[1]E. Schrödinger, Naturwiss **14**, 664 (1926)

[2]J. R. Klauder, B.-S. Skagerdtam, *Coherent states: applications in physics and mathematical physics*, (World Sientific, Singapore, 1985); J. R. Klauder Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2349 (1979)

[3]A. M. Perelomov, *Generalized coherent states and their applications* (Springer, Berlin, 1986)

[4]S. T. Ali, J. P. Antoine, J.-P. Gazeau and U. A. Mueller, Rev. Math. Phys. **7**, 1013 (1995)

[5]J.-P.Gazeau, *Coherent states in quantum mechanics* (Wiley-VCH,Weinheim, 2009)

[6]F. A. Berezin, *The method of Second Quantization* (Nauka, Moscow, 1986) (1986)

[7]I. Aremua, J.-P. Gazeau and M. N. Hounkonnou, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **45**, 335302 (2012)

[8]A. O. Barut and L. Girardello, Commun. Math. Phys. **21**, 41 (1971)

[9]A. M. Perelomov, Commun. Math. Phys. **26**, 222 (1972)

[10]C. Aragone, G. Guerri, S. Salamó and J. L. Tanin J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **7**, L149 (1974)

[11]M. M. Nieto and L. M. Jr. Simmons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 207 (1978)

[12]T. Fukui and N. Aizawa, Phys. Lett. A **189**, 7 (1994)

[13]A. N. F. Aleixo, A. B. Balantekin and M. A. Cândido Ribeiro, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 9063 (2002)

[14]E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **185**, 5123 (1981)

[15]F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. **251**, 267 (1995)

[16]L. Gendenshtein, JETP Lett. **38**, 356 (1983)

[17]R. Dutt, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Ame. J. Phys. **56**(2), 163 (1988)

[18]J. Dabrowska, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21**, L195 (1988)

[19]V. V. Dodonov, M. A. Marchiolli, Ya. A. Korenmoy, V. I. Man'ko and Y. A. Mouchin, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4087 (1998)

[20]D. Popov, J. Phys A: Math. Gen **35**, 7205 (2002)

[21]K. Berrada, J. Math. Phys **56**, 072104 (2015)

[22]Daoud M, Phys. Lett. A. **305**, 135 (2002)

[23]G. S. Agarwal and K. Tara, Phys. Rev A. **43**, 492 (1991); G. S. Agarwal and K. Tara,

phys. Rev A. **46**, 485 (1992)

[24]H. M. Li, H. C. Yuan and H. Y. Fan, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **48**, 2849 (2009)

[25]J. S. Zhang and J. B. Xu, Phys. Scr. **79**, 025008 (2009)

[26]M. N. Hounkonnou and E. B. Ngompe Nkouankam, J. Phys A: Math. Theor. **42**(2), (2008)

[27]B. Mojaveri, A. Dehghani and S. Mahmoodi, Phys. Scr. **89**, 085202 (2014)

[28]B. Mojaveri and A. Dehghani, Eur. Phys. J. D **68**, 315 (2014)

[29]M. Dakna, T. Anhut, T. Opatrny, L. Knöll and D-G. Welsch, Phys. Rev.A. **55**, 3184 (1997); M. Dakna, L. Knöll, and D-G Welsch, Opt. Commun. **145**, 309 (1998)

[30]M. Ban, J. Mod. Opt. **43**, 1281 (1996)

[31]L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Optical coherence and quantum optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995) (1995)

[32]K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 2354 (1999)

[33]L. Infeld and T. E. Hull, Rev. Mod. Phys. **23**, 28 (1951)

[34]O. I. Marichev, Handbook of integral transforms of higher transcendental functions: theory and algorithmic tables (Ellis Harwood, Chichester, UK, 1983)

[35]F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Mellin transforms* (Springer, Berlin, 1974)

[36]A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions with Applications in Statistics and Physical Sciences* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 348) (Springer, Berlin, 1973)